

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/735,712	12/12/2000	D. Wade Walke	LEX-0109-USA	5587	
24231	7590 08/23/2004		EXAMINER		
	GENETICS INCORPO NOLOGY FOREST PLA	LI, RUIXIANG			
	LANDS, TX 77381-1160		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1646		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/735,	,712	WALKE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
	The MAN INC DATE OF THE	Ruixiang	<del>-</del>	1646				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on t	ne cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the preriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) does be period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation. ays, a reply within the sivey period will apply and by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a late tatutory minimum of thir will expire SIX (6) MON application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered time  ITHS from the mailing date of this of  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>7/2/2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	oxtimes This action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)[	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection			, ,				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner, r	vote the attached	Office Action of form Pi	U-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have be cuments have be ne priority docum Bureau (PCT Ru	een received. een received in A nents have been ule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage			
Attachment	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	240)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date			formal Patent Application (PTC	)-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Status of Application**

The Request filed on July 2, 2004 for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 of Application 09/735,712 is granted. An action on the RCE follows.

## **Applicants' Amendment and Claims**

Applicants' amendment on July 2, 2004 has been entered in full. Claims 1-9 are currently pending and under consideration.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

# Claim rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101

The rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. §101 is maintained. The basis for this rejection is set forth in the previous Office Actions (Paper No. 9, 12, 18, and 21).

Applicants submitted a declaration of Dr. Oravecz under 37 C.F.R. §1.132, and argue that the specification as filed asserted that the sequences of the present invention encode a novel human CD20 antigen-like membrane protein that plays a role in connective tissue disorders. Applicants argue that the sequences of the present invention encode a CD20-like protein now known to those skilled in the art as

Art Unit: 1646

"Membrane—spanning 4-domains subfamily A member 5(MS4A5)(testis-expressed transmembrane 4 protein) (CD20 antigen-like 2)" and members of this protein family are known to those of skilled in the art to be characterized by common structural features and similar intron/exon splice boundaries. Applicants further argue that disruption of these mouse ortholog of the claimed human sequences and thus elimination of the encoded protein resulted in an increase in the level of natural killer (NK) cells in the blood. Applicants submit that those skilled in the art would readily believe that the human CD20-like protein encoded by the claimed human sequences plays a role in the regulation of NK cell levels and is associated with connective tissue disorders.

Applicants' argument, Dr. Oravecz's declaration, and exhibits submitted by Applicants have been fully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive for the following reasons. First, as noted in previous office actions (paper No. 9, 12, and 21), sequence homology with human CD20 antigen or other sequences present in databases does not render the present sequences a specific biological function or physiological significance because the state of the art in protein science indicates that it is impossible to predict protein functions solely based upon sequence homology. While CD20 antigen-like proteins may be structurally related as Applicants argued, no single specific biological function or activity has been assigned to the protein family. As stated by Ishibashi et al., "The identification of this relatively large gene family in various tissues will allow the further elucidation of physiological significance of this gene family, that is currently unclear." (Gene, 264: 87-93, 2001, Exhibit D, Abstract, submitted on 08/08/2003). The Examiner's position is further supported by the fact that the CD20 knockout mouse cited

in Nature Review Drug Discovery (Exhibit 2) exerted the phenotype of depletion of a subpopulation of B cells, whereas the present knockout mouse showed an increased level of NK cells, even though Applicants assert that the protein of the present invention is CD20 antigen-like. Therefore, the sequence homology alone does not provide a specific and substantial utility for the present sequences.

Secondly, the Examiner agrees with Applicants and Dr. Oravecz that one of skilled in the art would readily believe that the human CD20-like protein encoded by the claimed nucleic acids sequences plays a role in regulation of NK cells, as shown by the study on the knockout mouse. Unfortunately, there is no support for such a regulatory role of the protein of the present invention in NK cell level in the application as originally filed; nowhere does the specification disclose that the nucleic acid and/or protein have any links with the NK cell levels. Therefore, the Applicants were not in possession of the utility at the time when the application was filed.

Furthermore, in view of the teachings in the prior art (Ercole et al., Exhibit B), one of skilled in the art would readily believe reduced NK cell levels are associated with connective tissue disorders, as Applicants argued. However, Applicants' knockout mouse showed an increased level of NK cells as compared with normal mice. Since the prior art teaches patients with diffuse and late-stage disease had *smaller percentages of NK cells* (Ercole et al., Exhibit B), the Examiner has difficulty in understanding how the protein encoded by the claimed nucleic acid sequences are linked to connective tissue disorders. Most importantly, Applicants' knockout mouse study does not show, by any

means, that there is a causative link between the protein encoded by the claimed

nucleic acid sequences and a connective tissue disorder.

Accordingly, for the reasons above and the reasons set forth in the previous office

action, the present invention lacks a specific and substantial utility or a well-established

utility.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U. S. C. § 112, 1st Paragraph

The rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. §112, 1st Paragraph due to lack of utility is

maintained. The basis for this rejection is set forth in the previous Office Actions (Paper

No. 9, 12, 18, and 21).

Applicants' argument about the patentable utility of the claimed invention has been fully

considered but is not deemed to be persuasive for the reasons set forth above.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

**Advisory Information** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ruixiang Li whose telephone number is (571) 272-0875.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00

Application/Control Number: 09/735,712

Art Unit: 1646

pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (571) 272-0961.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under

35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and

should be addressed to [Brenda.Brumback@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail

communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not

engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive

information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly

signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is

more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official

Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1600.

Ruixiang Li, Ph.D.

Examiner

August 13, 2004

FRENDA PRUMBACK

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEH

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 6